# On fusion chain reactions in <sup>11</sup>B targets for laser driven aneutronic fusion

# J. Gruenwald<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Gruenwald Laboratories GmbH, Taxberg 50, 5660 Taxenbach, AUSTRIA

(Received: 23. Nov. 2021, Accepted: 29. Nov. 2021, Published online: 29. Nov. 2021)

The work presented in this letter suggests that it is possible to enhance the yield in laser driven aneutronic fusion devices by fusion chain reactions. This mechanism will be described using the example of aneutronic fusion between an incoming high-energy proton beam and a <sup>11</sup>B target. Such fusion reactions create alphas that can again fuse with a <sup>11</sup>B particle in a dense solid state target. An improved target design will be shown that enhances the recycling of fast alpha particles that are created from fusion reactions. It will also be argued that such alpha recycling may have already been observed in experiments, although it was attributed to another, more complex physical mechanism.

(DOI: 10.31281/jtsp.v2i1.22)

jgruenwald@gmx.at

### I. Introduction

Different schemes for obtaining fusion reactions have been proposed but in recent years, concepts for aneutronic fusion reactors emerged [1-4]. One particular novelty, laser driven fusion devices with solid targets, consisting of boron, which can react with incoming proton beams, have been studied [5, 6]. In Refs. [6] and [7] an unexpected high yield of around 10<sup>9</sup> alpha particles was observed and explained by the occurrence of so-called avalanche proton boron reactions. The basis for these avalanche reactions was believed to be inelastic collisions between alpha particles and protons. It was argued that the alphas, which were produced during the fusion processes, transferred kinetic energy to protons through multiple inelastic collisions. These protons were accelerated up to about 660 keV, which falls into the energy range for the maximum fusion cross section between proton and boron. However, we argue that due to the isotropy of particle ejection after a fusion event and directional nature of an incoming proton beam as it was used in the aforementioned papers such a process is highly unlikely. There is also another paper by M. Shmatov that also argues against the proposed mechanism of avalanche reactions [9]. Thus, in this work a much simpler mechanism is proposed that can explain the extraordinary yields of alpha particles observed by the cited authors. It will be argued that in a densely packed solid state fusion target, it is much more likely that some of the produced <sup>4</sup>He particles will directly fuse with some of the neighbouring <sup>11</sup>B atoms in the lattice. Such a fusion chain reaction, on the other hand, is able to produce again protons with a kinetic energy (around 730 keV) that matches the energy at the maximum reaction cross section for protonboron fusion reactions (about 620 keV) very well. These thoughts will be outlined in the next section of this paper.

## II. Physical basics

As a starting point the most important experimental parameters from [6] are recapitulated: The authors used the Prague Asterix Laser System (PALS), which is able to accelerate up to  $10^{14}$  protons to fusion relevant kinetic energies [8]. The researchers used different versions of hydrogen enriched silicon targets that were doped with boron. For this work the target with a 100 nm boron thickness D and a concentration of  $10^{26}$  /m<sup>3</sup> ( $10^{20}$  /cm<sup>3</sup>) are used as



Figure 1: Schematic spread of alpha particles created through aneutronic proton boron fusion events.

It can be seen from Fig. 1 that half of the fusion products that are created at or near the surface of the target, will be ejected from the target backwards into the direction of the incoming proton beam. This loss will be accounted for in the subsequent calculations. First, we will have a look at the number of fusion events F that is normalised to one m<sup>3</sup>:

$$F = n_p n_B \langle \sigma D \rangle \tag{1}$$

Where  $n_p$  and  $n_B$  are the proton and boron number density,  $\sigma$  is the (energy dependent) fusion cross section and D is the thickness of the boron target (100 nm for the PALS experiment). It has to be noted that D as well as the densities are taken to be constant in this case. In general an expanding gas or plasma plume may form at the point of proton impact that causes D to increase and the density  $n_B$  to go down locally, which is neglected in this work. It has also to be emphasized that the number of fusion reactions that are obtained by using Eq. (1) are normalised to /m<sup>3</sup>. Hence, the actual number of fusion reaction that occurs in a thin layer will be considerably less. The same normalisation to /m<sup>3</sup> is applied to the proton and boron densities as well. In order to explain the aforementioned enhanced alpha yields, it is sufficient to compare only the ratios of incoming protons to created ⁴He particles and secondary protons, respectively. For simplicity we will only look at the maximum value of the fusion cross section and assume that the incoming proton beam has kinetic energy, i.e. exactly this it is monoenergetic. The values used in this paper are listed in the following Table 1:

Reaction	σ <sub>max</sub> [mbarn]	E <sub>kin</sub> @ σ <sub>max</sub> [MeV]
<sup>11</sup> B+p→3α(2.89 MeV)	800	0.62
α+ <sup>11</sup> B→ <sup>14</sup> C (52.2 keV) + p (731 keV)	100	3-6
α+ <sup>11</sup> B→ <sup>15</sup> N (1.2MeV) + γ(9.87 MeV)	100	3-6

**Table 1:** Fusion reactions used in this paper, their<br/>maximum cross section and kinetic energy at<br/>the maximum cross section, taken from the<br/>EXFOR data base [12].

The proton bunch created by the PALS laser is about 80 µm in diameter and 200 µm in length [8], which yields a volume of  $10^{-12}$  m<sup>3</sup> and, thus, a proton number density of  $10^{26}$  /m<sup>3</sup>. Inserting these values into Eq. (1) gives 8 x  $10^{16}$  fusion reactions per m<sup>3</sup>, which produce 2.4 x  $10^{17}$  alpha particles per m<sup>3</sup>. Each helium nucleus produced has a 50 % chance of creating a <sup>14</sup>C nucleus and a proton with ca. 730 keV or a <sup>15</sup>N nucleus and a gamma ray when fusing with a <sup>11</sup>B atom. Each of those reactions is depicted in more detail in the following Fig. 2, which also illustrates that the kinetic energy of the proton produced matches the kinetic energy at the peak cross section for proton boron fusion and can, thus, be 'recycled' for further fusion reactions.



1

2

3

**Figure 2:** Fusion chain reaction through the creation of a 731.9 keV proton, which is fed into a second fusion cycle in the <sup>11</sup>B target material.

4

However, as mentioned before, half of the alphas 5 6 will be lost due to the fraction of particles ejected away from the target after fusion events occur. 7 Thus, 6 x 10<sup>16</sup> alphas will be able to fuse again in 8 the target, although with a lower cross section of 9 only 100 mbarns. The possibility to do this quite 10 efficiently is due to the fact that boron-11 has a 11 rhombohedral unit cell with a lattice constant of 12 506 pm, which is far smaller than the range of an 13 alpha particle in a boron layer (about 9 µm for 2.9 14 MeV <sup>4</sup>He nuclei [10]). Hence, the produced alphas 15 can cross several atomic layers before they are 16 17 stopped. Taking the ejection losses and the fact into account that the PALS experiment used 18 natural boron, which contains only 80 % of <sup>11</sup>B by 19 multiplying Eq. (1) with a factor of 0.5 and 0.8, 20 respectively, one obtains 4.8 x 10<sup>7</sup> protons/m<sup>3</sup> in 21 the second fusion cycle and 0.4 x  $10^{-7}$  ] in 22 dissipated energy from the <sup>14</sup>C nuclei as well as 23 8.4 mJ from the created <sup>15</sup>N nuclei and gamma 24 rays. Since those secondary protons are 25 produced within the material and have 26 considerably less kinetic energy than the alphas 27 (see Table 1), they will stay within the target layer. 28 Thus, when recalculating the amount of fusion 29 reactions per m<sup>3</sup> the factor of 0.5 for the escaping 30 particles can be dropped with 0.04 fusion 31 reactions /m<sup>3</sup> x 3 ~0.1 alphas /m<sup>3</sup>. This about 8 32 orders of magnitude lower than the number of 33 alphas created in the first cycle. Hence, this 34 process will die out quite soon. However, if the 35 number of initial reactions is sufficiently high 36 (about 10<sup>9</sup> or higher) the contribution from 37 additional secondary alphas will be about an 38 order of magnitude. These numbers are obtained 39 by looking at the values normalised to /m<sup>3</sup>. Since 40 each reaction number, particle density, etc. is 41

related to this volume, it is sufficient to examine 42 43 the ratio of the fusion reaction number in each cycle in order to get an understanding of the 44 fractional contributions of the suggested chain 45 reactions. This is well within the possibilities of 46 existing experiments and can explain the 47 unexpected higher yield for <sup>4</sup>He nuclei that was 48 reported in the aforementioned works. 49

50

51

65

66

67

#### III. Optimised target design

In order to maximise the number of fusion 52 reactions in the target and, thus, the fusion 53 energy output one can use a different target 54 design. Such a design has to be made in a way 55 that lets as few alpha particles as possible 56 57 escape. It should also be made out of pure <sup>11</sup>B in order efficiently induce boron-proton fusion 58 reactions. Furthermore, it has to be much larger 59 than the range of protons in boron in order to 60 capture as many positive charge carriers as 61 possible in fusion reactions. For calculating the 62 proton range in boron, the equation by Burrel 63 [13] gives a good estimation: 64

$$R(E) = \frac{a}{2b} \times \ln[1 + 2bE^{1.78}] \left(\frac{g}{cm^2}\right)$$
(2)

$$a = 1.53 \times 10^{-3} + 2.33 \times 10^{-4} \sqrt{A}$$
(3)

$$b = 1.6 \times 10^{-6} + 10^{-6} \sqrt{Z},\tag{4}$$

where E is the energy of the incoming protons, A is the mass number and Z is the atomic number. Eq. (2) is accurate to about 5 % for Z < 20.

However, it has to be noted that strictly speaking 71 this is only for proton bunches with a power law 72 energy distribution but for a simple estimation 73 about the characteristic thickness of an optimised 74 target this is assumed to be sufficient. Inserting 75 protons with a kinetic energy of 731 keV, this 76 equation yields a range of about 13 µm. This is 77 far more than the interatomic distance in the 78 boron target but very in the order of a target 79 distance D of 1 µm, which was used in the former 80 case and will also be used here to calculate the 81 fusion reactions per m<sup>3</sup>. The same value for D is 82 applied since it allows a more direct comparison 83 between the two target types, although, of course 84 the optimised target can be much thicker than is 85 1 micron. 86

One possible design, which fulfils these
requirements, is a bloc of <sup>11</sup>B with a thin, vertical
aperture through which the incoming proton
beam can enter the material. A schematic of this
target setup is depicted in the following Fig. 3:



92

Figure 3: optimised target design for a maximum alpha
 recycling yield within the boron target.

<sup>95</sup> It is evident that a proton beam that enters the <sup>96</sup> target vertically will produce nearly no losses in <sup>97</sup> alpha particles resulting from the fusion reactions <sup>98</sup> within the target material. Since the mass density <sup>99</sup> of boron is 2460 kg/m<sup>3</sup> [14] and the mass of a <sup>100</sup> single boron atom is  $1.8 \times 10^{-26}$  kg [15], a pure <sup>11</sup>B <sup>101</sup> target has a particle density of  $1.4 \times 10^{29}$  /m.

Inserting this into Eq. (1) and letting the proton 102 density be the same as before, one obtains 1.1 x 103  $10^{20}$  fusion reactions per m<sup>3</sup> with 3.3 x  $10^{20}$  <sup>4</sup>He 104 nuclei created in the process. Taking again a 105 range of 9 µm for the alphas in a boron target, 106 the second fusion cycle yields  $3 \times 10^{15}$  fusion 107 reactions between the alphas and the boron. The 108 created <sup>14</sup>C atoms will therefore dissipate about 109 25 J into the target while the <sup>15</sup>N nuclei and 110 gamma particles will deposit up to 2.6 kJ into the 111 boron bloc. This is a considerable gain in fusion 112 energy when one takes into account that the 113 114 PALS laser is able to deliver energies up to 600 J per shot. These numbers would indicate that a 115 gain of at least a factor 4 is possible with such an 116 optimised boron target. A third fusion cycle 117 within such a target would start with about 10<sup>15</sup> 118 secondary protons and yield 4.5 x 10<sup>11</sup> alpha 119 particles. This is a notable amount. However, it is 120 121 about 4 orders of magnitude smaller than the amount of alphas created in the first cycle. 122 Hence, the energy output from this cycle will only 123 124 contribute some mJ.

125

#### 126 IV. Conclusions

This letter presented the possibility of fusion 127 chain reactions between laser-accelerated 128 protons and a solid boron target. It was argued 129 that the energy output for such configuration can 130 131 already be positive if a solid boron target is used in such a manner that <sup>4</sup>He nuclei, which are 132 created through fusion reactions between the 133 high energy protons and the <sup>11</sup>B atoms undergo 134 additional fusion chain reactions in the densely 135 packed boron lattice. It was also argued that the 136 protons that are created through these 137 secondary reactions can also be 'recycled' and 138 add to subsequent aneutronic p-B fusion 139 reactions. The energy output is expected to be 140 considerable with a theoretical limit of a factor 4 141 142 when it is compared to the already existing PALS laser system even if the whole laser energy is 143 deployed for proton acceleration, which is usually 144 not the case. It was also shown by Brenner et al. 145 that a laser-to-proton conversion efficiency for 146 laser proton acceleration of about 15 % was 147 experimentally achieved [11]. Thus, the energy 148 149 gain in such a case is already very close to break even, even when the laser to proton energy 150 conversion efficiency is taken into account. It is 151 reasonable to assume that the advances in laser 152 153 technology and laser particle acceleration will only further improve those results in the near 154 future. 155

#### 156 V. References

IS [1] S. Son and N. J. Fisch. "Aneutronic fusion
in a degenerate plasma. "*Phys. Lett. A* 329.1-2: 7682, 2004.

160 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2004.06.054

[2] J. Gruenwald, "Proposal for a novel type
of small scale aneutronic fusion reactor." *Plasma Phys. Contr. F.* 59.2: 025011, 2016.
<u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6587/59/2/025011</u>

[3] T. Weaver, G. Zimmerman and L. Wood,
"Exotic CTR fuels: Non-thermal effects and laser
fusion applications." No. *UCRL--74938*. California
Univ., 1973.

169 [4] M. L. Shmatov, "Igniting a microexplosion 170 by a microexplosion and some other controlled

171 thermonuclear fusion scenarios with neutronless

172 reactions." *Phys.-Usp.* 62.1: 70. 2019

173 <u>https://doi.org/10.3367/UFNe.2018.03.038304</u>

174[5]J. Gruenwald and C. Teodorescu. "Novel175target design for a laser-driven aneutronic fusion176reactor." Fusion Eng. Des. 151: 111397. 2020

177 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fusengdes.2019.111397</u>

178 [6] D. Margarone, et al. "Advanced scheme
179 for high-yield laser driven nuclear reactions."
180 *Plasma Phys. Contr. F.* 57.1: 014030, 2014
181 <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/0741-3335/57/1/014030</u>

182 [7] S. Eliezer et al. "Avalanche proton-boron
183 fusion based on elastic nuclear collisions." *Phys.*184 *Plasmas* 23.5: 050704, 2016.
185 <u>https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4950824</u>

186 [8] D. Margarone, "Proton acceleration
187 driven by a nanosecond laser from a cryogenic
188 thin solid-hydrogen ribbon." *Phys. Rev. X* 6.4:
189 041030, 2016.

190 <u>https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.041030</u>

[9] M. L. Shmatov, "Comment on "Avalanche
proton-boron fusion based on elastic nuclear
collisions"[Phys. Plasmas 23, 050704 (2016)]." *Physics of Plasmas* 23.9: 094703, 2016
https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4963006

[10] R. J. Nikolić et al. "Roadmap for high
efficiency solid-state neutron detectors." Proc. *Optoelectronic Devices: Physics, Fabrication, and Application II.* Vol. 6013. International Society for

200 Optics and Photonics, 2005.

201 <u>https://doi.org/10.1117/12.633256</u>

202[11]C. Brenner et al. "High energy conversion203efficiencyinlaser-protonaccelerationby

controlling laser-energy deposition onto thin foil
targets." *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 104, 081123, 2014.
<u>https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4865812</u>

[12] V. Zerkin, EXFOR database, online. URL:
 <u>https://www-nds.iaea.org/exfor/</u>, version of Oct.
 2021.

[13] Burrell, Martin O. "The calculation of
proton penetration and dose rates." Tech. Report,
NASA, 1964.

213 [14] R.C. Weast, editor. Handbook of
214 Chemistry and Physics, 56<sup>th</sup> edition. CRC Press,
215 1975.

216 [15] M. Wang, et al. "The AME2016 atomic 217 mass evaluation." *Chin. Phys. C* 41: 030003, 2017.

# 219

218

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative 220 Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which 221 permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and 222 reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you 223 give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the 224 source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, 225 and indicate if changes were made. The images or other 226 third party material in this article are included in the 227 228 article s Creative Commons license, unless indicated 229 otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is 230 not included in the article's Creative Commons license 231 and your intended use is not permitted by statutory 232 regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. 233 view a copy of this license, 234 Τo visit: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. 235